Moisture Controlled Triboelectrification During Coffee Grinding

by

ELIAS JACOB RHEINGOLD

A THESIS

Presented to the Department of Human Physiology and the Robert D. Clark Honors College in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science

May 2024

An Abstract of the Thesis of

Elias Jacob Rheingold for the degree of Bachelor of Science in the Department of Human Physiology to be taken May 2024

Title: Moisture Controlled Triboelectrification During Coffee Grinding

Approved: <u>Christopher H. Hendon Ph.D.</u> Primary Thesis Advisor

Granular materials accumulate surface charges through triboelectrification and fractoelectrification charging resulting from material friction and fracture, respectively. These processes occur during coffee grinding and impact coffee production at both the enthusiast and industrial-length scales. By sourcing commercially roasted coffee as well as roasting our own, we find that roast color and grind coarseness impact the charging; fine, darker roasts acquire charge-to-mass ratios comparable to those inferred from particles in volcanic plumes and thunderclouds. Furthermore, we elucidate the influence of residual internal moisture on electrification, concluding that moisture can tune both the magnitude and polarity of charge. In addition to possible technological applications, we demonstrate that the addition of external water simultaneously suppresses surface charging and clumping of ground coffee and results in notably different flow dynamics in espresso formats, likely yielding markedly different taste profiles and more concentrated extracts.

Acknowledgements

This was the first long term project I have ever worked on, and it was made possible by many people, I would like to personally thank the following. Christopher Hendon, for letting me be a part of his lab and giving me a chance. Josh Méndez, for the guidance and a project to work on. Robin Bumbaugh, for her patience and mentorship. Lena Wehn, for all the long hours we spent together at lab and laughing at my stupid jokes. Doran Pennington, for the direction he has given me in the months following this projects publication. Michael LeRoy and Casey Check, for letting me use their lab equipment and teaching me analytical techniques. Thanks to Dr. Christopher Michlig from the Clark Honors College for serving on my thesis committee.

My best friends Kira Wilson and Grace Guyer, for always having my back and keeping me going. My best friend in the world, Cameron Truong, for all the support, love, and patience over the last eight years. My friend Eli Borrevik for all the long nights and fun we've had this las year. Finally, and most importantly, my family for getting me to college, having my back, providing for me, and listening to me ramble about my frustrations, curiosities, and everything else in between. I love you all. Once again, thank you all for the left a mark that you've left on me, I wouldn't be the person I am today if it wasn't for you.

The work was enabled by donations of green and roasted coffee from Square Mile, Tailored, Transcend, Onyx, Farmers Union, Passenger, Reverie, Stumptown, Sweet Bloom, Gracenote, Blueprint, Phil and Sebastian, Tim Wendelboe, Archetype, Colonna, Ona, Gorilla Gear, SuperJoy, Cà Phê, Proud Mary, Black & White, gget, George Howell, S&W Craft, Klatch, Monogram, Mother Tongue, Allegro, Keurig Dr. Pepper, Intelligensia, Counter Culture, Federico Barrueta, and Philip Andrango. We are grateful to Nuova Simonelli for providing the espresso machine and grinders, Ikawa for providing the roaster, Acaia for providing balances, PUQpress for providing a Q1 tamper, and Pentair for providing the reverse-osmosis water filtration system. We are grateful to Scott Rao for helpful discussions regarding ambiguities in describing roast profiles. J.M.H. and J.D. acknowledge support from DOE grant no. 242649 and NASA IDS grant 1911057Z4. C.H.H. acknowledges the support from the National Science Foundation under grant no. 2237345 and support from the Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation. This work was supported by the Coffee Science Foundation, underwritten by financial support for Nuova Simonelli. Y.-H.K. was supported by National Research Foundation of Korea (grant no. 2019R1A6A1A10073887).

Table of Contents

List of Figures	5
Personal Statements	6
Introduction and Contributions	6
Reflection	7
Introduction	9
Results and Discussion	10
Electrifying coffee	10
General trends in electrification of grinding commercially roasted coffee	15
Isolating the impact of roast profile	17
Grind-setting-dependent charging	19
How granular mechanics influence electrification	21
Grinding with supplemental external water and its impact on brewing	23
What is the role of water in charge passivation?	29
Outlook and conclusion	31
Experimental Procedures	33
Supplemental Information	34
Bibliography	35

List of Figures

- Figure 1. Electrification of coffee beans and particles
- Figure 2. Charging regimes of commercially sourced coffees
- Figure 3. The effect of roast profile on charging
- Figure 4. Charging as a function of grind setting and roast
- Figure 5. Twice grinding coffee to assess the role of fracture in electrification
- Figure 6. External moisture controls surface charging and causes particle deaggregation
- Figure 7. Shot-time and flow-rate dependence with and without water added to whole-bean coffee

Personal Statements

Introduction and Contributions

This past December the Hendon Coffee Lab published its first paper which I had the honor of being named an author on. The paper was titled, "Moisture Controlled Triboelectrification During Coffee Grinding" and was published in the scientific journal Matter.

Our paper explored the way that coffee accumulates charge after being ground based on factors such as roast profile, internal moisture content, grind size, and the addition of external moisture. One of our key findings was that internal moisture content of freshly roasted coffee can be used as a predictor of how a given coffee will charge after being ground. However, our most applicable finding was that the misting of water on coffee beans before grinding could tune the magnitude and polarity of charge on coffee following grinding.

The addition of water before grinding has been shown to reduce the number of grounds that stick to the internal components of coffee grinders and increase the uniformity of the coffee grounds through the reduction of static electricity and subsequent "clumping." In practice, this means less wasted coffee grounds and stronger brewed coffee beverages. Benefits that serve to benefit the casual home barista and the industrial coffee producer.

Spraying coffee beans with water before grinding has been a niche practice within the coffee industry for years, colloquially known as the "Ross Droplet Technique" (RDT). While we did not invent the technique, we are the first group to demonstrate the physical changes it imparts on ground coffee. We demonstrated that the RDT eliminated all charge on the coffee grounds and that the grounds were more uniform in size compared to their non-sprayed counterpart. To analyze how size distribution changed with the addition of external water I used a technique called laser diffraction analysis (LDA). LDA involves a sample of being sprayed past a laser sensor which collects data on the size, number, and surface area of particles in the sample. Our paper used LDA extensively to quantitatively record changes of coffee ground under different parameters. I was trained in this technique and have used it extensively since becoming involved with the project.

During my time at the Hendon Coffee Lab, I have spent hundreds of hours using LDA for various reasons. At first, I used the technique to measure grind sizes on different grinders so we could make quantitative comparisons between different machines. Then we started working on our paper and my focus shifted towards analyzing the materials used during in the experiments from our paper. That meant analyzing lots of random coffee, analyzing grind size of beans ground with anywhere from 5-100 μ L of water per gram of coffee and coffee beans ground in the presences of salt solutions at varying concentrations. In total I have run over fifteen-hundred samples through our particle size analyzer.

Following our publication, I have become interested in researching how to increase the reproducibility of espresso brewing. This curiousity came to light once I realized that our paper proved that improving the quality of espresso does not need to be expensive (e.g., misting coffee beans with water before brewing). This curiosity has led me to my current project. I am currently investigating the concentration of CO2 changes within espresso beans over time and how this change affects the reproducibility of espresso brewing. This project has required the usage of new techniques to measure CO2 concentration within coffee beans, internal moisture content of coffee beans, flow dynamics during espresso brewing as well as techniques I have already become familiar with such as laser particle analysis. The end goal of this project being the creation of a predictive model to determine how long coffee beans need to be rested following roasting, which once again would be beneficial at the home brewer or commercial roasting scale.

Reflection

Before we delve into the paper, I want to take a moment to reflect on my experience in the lab over the past three years. I joined the Hendon Coffee Lab in the second week of my sophomore year. I had just finished general chemistry and was starting organic chemistry; in other words, I didn't know anything about chemistry. What I did know was that I wanted to be part of a lab. I talked to Dr. Hendon, who I had the term before, and asked for a job. He redirected me to Robin Bumbaugh, the lab's PhD student (soon to be Dr. Bumbaugh). Robin told me to come back on Monday and I've been working there ever since.

I was eager to work in a lab, but I had no idea what that entailed or what to expect. I didn't know anything about analytical chemistry, I didn't know what it meant to publish a paper or even the dynamics of a chemistry lab. I had always pictured white lab coats, fuming vials of acids and glasses wearing scientists. I didn't get any of that. Instead, I found a new community of friends that I intend on keeping for life, mentors who taught me about what it means to be a chemist and a place where ideas are welcome.

I still wonder why I was so determined to join a lab. Perhaps it was because neither of my parents graduated from college, and I wanted to make the most of my opportunity. Maybe I wanted to explore a career in chemistry or maybe I just liked the idea of being called a researcher. Regardless, joining the Hendon Coffee Lab has been the highlight of my college experience. Even with less than a month left in college you can still find me at lab every day.

In conclusion, it has been an honor to work alongside talented researchers and bright minds. I have gained mentors, formed deep friendships, and learned the intricacies of publishing a paper in a journal. I plan on taking two gap years and to eventually attend medical school, and I don't know if I'll ever do chemistry research again but that's okay. My experience in the Hendon Coffee Lab was never really about the Chemistry, it was about learning what it means to work towards something that may not work, it was about taking a chance on myself, it was about getting out of my comfort zone, and most importantly what it means to persevere even when you don't want to. I am excited for the adventures that lie ahead, and I believe the skills I have acquired working in lab will benefit in me in anything I pursue. Thank you all for the eye-opening experience that's been working in a coffee lab, I will deeply miss the mentorship and guidance.

Introduction

Triboelectrification is the physical process where materials acquire surface charge from frictional interactions at their interfaces.¹ The magnitude of charge depends on the interfacial material composition² and can be harnessed in emergent technologies for energy generation.³⁻⁷ The mechanism of electrostatic accumulation is complex and is further obscured in granular materials where collisions are sufficiently energetic to cause fracturing. In this "fractoelectric" regime, crack initiation and propagation are thought to charge particles through transfer of electrons and/or ions at the hot crack interface.⁸⁰ Whether a material's charging is dominated by tribo- or fractoelectrification, fracture-generated granular flows often comprise particles whose surface charge density may exceed the theoretical maximum value of 27 mC per meter squared¹⁰⁻¹³ or charge-to-mass ratios in the range of 0.1–100 nC per gram.^{14,15} There remains fundamental interest in studying the mechanism and magnitude of charging and methods to control the process, in particular to mitigate spurious effects such as electrostatic discharges and agglomeration within industrial settings.¹⁶⁻²⁰

The electrification of chemically complex materials (e.g., foodstuffs, wood)²¹ present unique and complex problems in materials science. While most food is not subject to fracturing resulting in appreciable electrical charge formation, coffee is a paragon of material complexity, as all coffee is ground, and the chemical composition of wholebean coffee depends on numerous factors (e.g., roast, origin).²²⁻²⁴ The effects are particularly emphasized in espresso preparation, where the coffee must be ground fine, imparting large amounts of static charge. Here, we use coffee to provide fundamental insights into the electrification processes in organic materials composed of a variety of molecules. We demonstrate that (1) conventional coffee parameters—roast, internal water content, grind setting—dictate the charging of roasted coffee and offer an explanation why, (2) both triboelectric and fractoelectric processes occur during grinding, with the majority of charging coming from fracturing events, and (3) charging depends on the coffee bean's internal and external water content, with higher water content suppressing charge accumulation. For industrial-scale operations, uncontrolled coffee charging can cause clumping, leading to product heterogeneities and clogged conduits. At the brewing level, aggregation may also affect liquid-solid accessibility,²³ leading to inhomogeneous extraction and unpredictably unpleasant espresso.²⁶ In the context of both understanding the fundamentals of triboelectrification and bolstering our efforts toward brewing more reproducible and sustainable coffee, this article offers strategies to control the charging of coffee particles and posits opportunities therefrom.

Results and Discussion

Electrifying coffee

We first sourced numerous commercially roasted coffees, canvasing many major producing countries and coffee-processing paradigms. These coffees are further categorized by their processing method—natural (N), washed (W), and decaffeinated (D)—and are all single origin unless designated as a blend (B). Full details of the commercial coffees are presented in Table S1. The color of roasted coffee can be quantified using a spectrophotometric method that places coffee on the "Agtron gourmet scale."²⁷ The scale ranges from 0 (black/carbonized) to 150 (green/ unroasted), with most specialty coffees falling within the range of 40–90 as measured on our spectrophotometer. Examples are presented in Figure 2.

To assess surface charging of whole beans, we first selected Starbucks Blonde Espresso Roast (a dark-roast coffee: Agtron 65.2, water content 1.3%) and rolled it down a vibrating ramp coated in various materials. At the end of the ramp, beans were collected in a Faraday cup, where the charge and weight were recorded (Figure 1A). Coffee generally charges poorly against metallic surfaces but may acquire relatively large charge when contacting dielectrics. To assess the charging of coffee against other materials, we created a series of heterointerfaces between whole-bean coffee and other common materials found in coffee-grinding environments. From Figure 1B, it is found that coffee charges positively against plastics such as polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and biaxially oriented polyethylene terephthalate (Mylar, a material widely used in coffee grinder technologies) but acquires negative charge when rubbed against glass and nylon (Figure 1B). Coffee gains almost no charge against office paper. These data indicate that coffee is similar to wood, cellulose, and grain in the triboelectric series.2

Coffee beans must be ground—a process that results in significant electrification. The process typically produces particles with sizes ranging from 100 nm to 2 mm. The distribution is controlled by grind setting and bean temperature.²⁸ In flat-burr grinder architectures such as the configuration housed within the Mahlkönig EK 43 (a grinder with steel 98 mm burrs; Figure 1C), the grind setting is determined by the separation between rotating metal plates. Finer grind settings result in more fracturing events, longer coffee-burr contact time, and the production of more fines (sub-100 mm particulates) and smaller boulders (post-100 mm particulates).

While grinding can lead to minute spark discharges, especially if the grinder is not grounded, the primary consequence of electrification is the formation of particle aggregates held together by electrostatic forces.



Figure 1. Electrification of coffee beans and particles

(A) Whole coffee beans accumulate charge when rolled down a vibrating ramp coated in a variety of materials.

(B) These surfaces materials can be arranged according to their capacity to charge whole beans. Here, Starbucks Blonde Espresso Roast weakly charges against steel, while glass and nylon result in positive charging, and plastics like PVC and Mylar lead to negative charging.

(C) During fracture, coffee particles accumulate charges from the burr-coffee interface and coffeecoffee rubbing (tribocharging), as well as fracture points (fractocharging).

(D) After grinding, the charge is quantified by alloying the particles to fall between two sub-parallel electrodes with a potential difference of 8.2 kV. The electric field separates particles by charge polarity, and particles are collected in negative, neutral, and positive bins at the base of the separator.

The net charge acquired by a coffee sample is measured by placing a Faraday cup under the grinder chute (Figure 1C). An electrometer then reports a voltage proportional to the particle charge with a sensitivity of 10 nC V1 . Although we used 1 g (5–10 beans) coffee across all experiments, the amount of ground coffee that entered the Faraday cup varied between experiments (some material is retained in the space between the burrs). To account for this variation, we normalized the measured charge by the mass collected in the cup, allowing us to calculate a cumulative charge-to-mass (Q/m) ratio (i.e., the total charge of the coffee in the cup). Furthermore, we performed a different experiment to separate the positive, negative, and neutral particles. By replacing the Faraday cup with an electrostatic separator consisting of two sub-parallel plates held at a potential difference of 8.2 kV and grinding 10 g coffee, the negatively charged grains drift toward the positive plate and the positive grains toward the negative plate, and net neutral particles fall straight down (Figure 1D). Imaging and laser diffraction particle size analysis can then be used to determine whether polarity impacts size distributions, in addition to determining a Q/m for each bin.



Figure 2. Charging regimes of commercially sourced coffees

(A) Three Mexican coffees—Taca' mbaro (N), Mané(B), and Temascaltepec (W)—show three possible charging regimes.

(B) Charge-to-mass ratio as a function Agtron color for a variety of coffees ground at setting 2.0. There is no strong relationship between charge magnitude and polarity and coffee processing method. Confidence bands are plotted at 90%. A polarity switch is observed for darker coffees (Agtron %70). The magnitude of negative charge continues to increase with darkness.

(C) Internal moisture—a property proportional to roast color—results in more positive charging.Moisture content is a slightly better predictor of charge-to-mass ratio than color.

(D) Examination of the particles collected for two representative coffees, Kolla (W, a positivecharging coffee) and Mané (a negative-charging coffee), reveals that positively charged particles are generally smaller than the boulders, as denoted by the average arrows in blue. Error bars in (B) and (C) indicate one standard deviation of a minimum of 3 measurements.

General trends in electrification of grinding commercially roasted coffee

Using this experimental setup, we first examined three Mexican coffees (Figure 2A). Those samples showed net positive, net negative, and both positive and negative charging. But those coffees were roasted by different roasters to different colors, and the data suggest, perhaps unsurprisingly, that origin alone does not dictate the polarity of charge. Figure 2B summarizes the charging behavior of 30 coffees as a function of Agtron color. Although we observed both positive and negative charging, the Q/m ratio magnitudes of positive samples are generally smaller ð <50 nC g⁻¹) than that of negative coffees (up to120 nC g⁻¹). We observed a weak relationship between roast color and charging, with positive charging occurring only at Agtron values exceeding 70. Post-roast internal moisture content showed a slightly better relation to the sign and magnitude of charge (Figure 2C). Here, the transition from negative to positive charging occurs when the water content increases above 2% by mass. The substantial scatter in Figures 2B and 2C likely reflect that Agrton color is not a unique property of any particular coffee— there are seemingly endless roast profiles that could be used to arrive at their color. For example, one could obtain a dark coffee by roasting at low temperature for a prolonged time or by roasting hot and fast. Additionally, the internal water content of pre-roast green coffee varies with time and depends on storage environment.²⁹ Both variables have a significant impact on roast chemical composition³⁹ and are also known to affect the resultant beverage properties.³¹

However, the relationship between water content in roasted coffee and charging transition from negative to positive is somewhat surprising given other reports of decreasing Q/m ratios with increasing moisture.³² One possibility is that the polarity flip reflects degrees of strain at fracture.³³ In that work, lower degrees of strain were associated with negative charging. Because dark coffee is more brittle, they may support less strain before failure than their more ductile, light-roast counterparts.³⁴ Another possibility is that the water is affecting the physical properties of the coffee, which we will discuss later in this article

Beyond performing net Q/m measurements, we also separated particles by polarity and then sized them photographically. An example particle size distribution of positive and negative coffees is presented in Figure 2D. These data suggest that the boulders carry a negative charge independent of roast, process, and origin. Highspeed videography reveals that these boulders exit the grinder first, explaining why we occasionally observe negative charge entering the Faraday cup at the onset of grinding even if the net charge is ultimately positive (see inset in Figure 2D and the third panel in Figure 2A). Fine particles (<100 mm) tend to be biased slightly toward negative charging or have comparable positive and negative abundances. The distribution of charge on mid-size particles is more complicated. We observed a peak in the abundance of positively charged particles at diameters of 100–300 mm, regardless of net charge polarity. For light roasts, the abundance of particles in this size range exceeds that of negatively charged particles outnumbers positive particles at all sizes. In other words, the polarity of the particles in this intermediate range appears to dictate the overall polarity of a coffee's net Q/m ratio. This size range also corresponds to the sizes of particles typically produced for espresso format brewing, adding to the ever-increasing challenge of brewing reproducible espresso.³⁶

Regardless of relative abundances, positive particles generally have smaller mean diameters than negative ones across all coffees (noted in Figure 2D by the average arrows). This size-dependent bipolar charging provides insight into the basic electrification mechanisms operating during grinding. The observation that the larger particles charge negatively is consistent with the charge separation described by James and co-workers10 in the context of volcanic pumice fracture. Although equal numbers of positive and negative surfaces are likely created during any given fracture event, those authors hypothesize that subsequent ion-scavenging processes lead to particles of different sizes, concentrating opposite polarity charges. This bias—larger, negative particles and smaller, positive particles— is opposite to that often reported in purely triboelectric systems (i.e., processes with little to no fracture).³⁵ In those contexts, charge segregation has been attributed to the exchange of trapped electrons, polarization,³⁶ and hydrated ions.³⁷ For now, we can summarize that dark-roast coffees appear to charge more negatively than light roasts, that large particles carry negative charge, and that commercially roasted coffees charge in a seemingly unpredictable way.

Isolating the impact of roast profile

The data presented in Figure 2 point to a general challenge in the coffee industry: the words "light," "medium," and "dark" describe the end color and, to some extent, provide a touch point for flavor profile.³⁸ But roast color does not yield sufficient information about the chemical composition and resultant tribocharging. The large amount of scatter in the data likely reflects the compounded effects of origin and processing,³⁹ in addition to the temperature profile used to take it from green to brown.^{40,41} Many commercial coffee roasters treat their roast profiles as proprietary, and it is impossible to back calculate the precise profile from examination of only the roasted whole beans. There is academic value in standardizing roast profiles across the industry, thereby allowing for direct comparisons between coffees. But we are not advocating for this on the industrial scale, as that would sterilize an artisanal aspect of the industry. Instead, we developed our own profiles with the aim of isolating roast through the development of systematically "darker" coffees.

Noting that pre-roast internal moisture content is known to dictate roast-induced swelling and other properties,⁴² we sourced coffees with moisture content representative of conventional specialty coffees. We obtained a green Ethiopian coffee from the Yirgacheffe region, "YirgZ," featuring 12% internal moisture at the time of roasting. This coffee was roasted using an Ikawa Pro100 to achieve five different roasts by systematically increasing the terminal headspace air temperature and time by 2+C and 60 s, respectively (Figure 3A, blue). A sixth coffee was generated by adding 8+C and 180 s to the fifth profile. Additionally, a second roast profile was employed, differing by a parameter we call the "Morse time" (the empirical time taken for the headspace thermocouple to read a temperature equal to the initial temperature in an Ikawa roaster). The long Morse profiles were constructed in increments of 3+C and 60 s (Figure 3A, purple). Figure 3A shows the profiles for the shortest (solid) and longest (dotted) roasts. In summary, 12 dissimilar roasts were achieved; their details are presented in Table S2.

After degassing for 24 h, the coffees were ground at a setting of 2.0. Figure 3B shows the Q/m ratio of the YirgZ as a function of roast time for the two profiles. In both cases, the resultant charging is positive for short roasts (i.e., lighter coffees), with a transition to negative charging as the roast time increases. However, we observe an earlier transition to negative charging for the short Morse roast. To test whether these behaviors are specific to the YirgZ, we repeated the short roast experiment with a washed Mexican coffee, Yogondoy (9% internal moisture at time of roasting). The result of this ancillary experiment is presented in Figure 3B (gray squares). Within error, the Q/m ratios

of the Ethiopian and Mexican coffees roasted using the same roast profile are comparable. Such congruence hints that the product of the roast, more than the characteristics of the green coffee, ultimately determines the charging behavior of coffee when ground.



Figure 3. The effect of roast profile on charging

(A) Two sets of roast profiles were explored: short Morse (blue) and long Morse (purple).

(B) Charge-to-mass ratios as a function of roast time for a representative Ethiopian coffee (YirgZ) show that charging increases with darker roasts. Prolonged Morse transitions to the negativecharging regime at a slower rate than the shorter Morse. An additional Mexican coffee (Yogondoy) was roasted using the short Morse profile, yielding a similar electrification behavior to the Ethiopian coffee.

(C) Charge-to-mass ratios for Ethiopian and Mexican coffees as functions of Agtron color.

(D) Charge-to-mass ratios for Ethiopian and Mexican coffees as functions of residual water content. Error bars in (B), (C), and (D) indicate one standard deviation of a minimum of 3 measurements.

We can further test the hypothesis that roast is a primary control on charging by casting our data in terms of roast color and residual water content (Figures 3C and 3D, respectively). In agreement with the data presented in Figure 2, we observed weak linear relationship between color and electrification, with a transition from positive to negative charging at Agtron colors in the vicinity of 70–80. Lightly roasted coffee also retained more internal moisture than the darker roasted analogs (Figure 3D). In line with our findings in Figure 2C, we observe an abrupt transition to negative charging at water contents <2%. Notably, the internal moisture content appears to be a very good predictor for charging (root-mean-square error [RMSE] = 7.93 for charge versus moisture content compared to RMSE = 19.37 for charge versus Agtron). Also, dehydration follows an exponential relationship between moisture and charge, in line with the dehydration profile of bananas,⁴³ seeds,⁴⁴ and other foodstuffs. Together, these data suggest that internal water content is a primary factor in the electrification behavior of roasted coffee.

Grind-setting-dependent charging

Finer grind settings necessitate more fractures for the coffee to exit the grind chamber. Additionally, kinetic theory predicts that finer particle flows have higher granular temperatures (provided that particles have significant inertia to overcome fluid modification of granular temperature⁴⁵), with individual grains undergoing large numbers of collisions.⁴⁶ Thus, grinding finer should generate more charging through both fracto- and triboelectrification, regardless of polarity. To probe this hypothesis, we performed the same experiments presented in Figure 2 but at varied grind settings. Two examples are displayed in Figure 4A, revealing that coarser settings yield lower charge, independent of whether the coffee is positive charging (Amatepec, W) or negative charging (Kicking Horse, D).



Figure 4. Charging as a function of grind setting and roast

(A and B) Charge-to-mass ratios of two representative coffees ground at settings spanning espresso (fine) to French press (coarse). In general, grinding finer increases charging, and at settings around 1.0 (the espresso region), positively charging coffees show a large spread in accumulated charge-to-mass ratio due to the formation of (B) aggregates as the coffee exists the grind chamber. For negatively charging coffees, grinding at 1.0 yields a slightly more positive average charge, with relatively small spread. We attribute this to the higher charging resulting in the very rapid formation of aggregates.

(C) At a constant grind setting, dark coffees have approximately 100 mm finer mean particle size than light coffees. Error bars shown in (A) and (C) indicate one standard deviation of a minimum of 3 measurements.

The general trend is that the Q/m magnitude increases as coffee is ground finer. Yet some unexpected behavior is observed at the finest grind settings. For instance, Amatepec shows a large spread in the Q/m ratio values

and microscopic examination reveals that the variance is attributed to the formation of aggregates, i.e., particles sticking to each other and other grinder surfaces (Figure 4B). The effect is exemplified in the Kicking Horse samples, where the finer particles have even higher surface charge and result in a minor reduction in observed electrification at the finest grind setting. We attribute this reduction to expeditious formation of the aggregates, analogous to those shown in Figure 4B.

Additionally, our measurements reveal that dark-roast coffees do produce much finer particles when ground at the same setting (Figure 4C). The darkest coffee in our dataset exhibits a 100 mm shift in particle size relative to the lightest coffee. These data build upon a previous study that showed that four light-roast coffees produced similar particle sizes28 because they were similar in roast color. Figure 4C offers one explanation as to why darker coffees may yield slower espresso shots for the same brew parameters. It may not only be the increased volatile content⁴⁰ but also the reduced bed permeability.

Because finer grinding generates more charge, a direct relationship between roast and charge must include an empirical correction for the difference in particle sizes shown in Figure 4C. To do this, we can manually change the grind setting and monitor the particle size data until the dark- and light-roast coffees produce the same size distribution. In practice, this meant grinding dark roasts at a slightly coarser setting, 2.3, to achieve the same particle size distribution as our lightest coffee ground at 2.0. Figure S2 reveals that variations in particle size alone cannot account for the trends we observed in Figure 2. That is, dark-roast coffees accumulate more negative surface charge during grinding than their lighter relatives, independent of the size differences.

How granular mechanics influence electrification

With the impact of roast and grind isolated (where dark roasts and fine grinding yields the most charge), we next sought to investigate the impact of granular mechanics on electrification during coffee grinding. Much effort has been devoted to ascertain the roles of fragmentation and triboelectric charging in granular flows,^{35,47,48} and some authors invoke a common physiochemical origin for charging.^{49,50} Although this matter remains unsettled, it seems as though flows that do include material fracture behave differently from those that do not. For example, Lim and colleagues showed that pre-ground coffee particulates accumulated approximately 2 to 5 nC g 1 by simply rubbing upon a

stainless-steel mixing auger and against themselves.¹⁸ In contrast, coffees ground in our experiments gained absolute Q/m ratios exceeding 100 nC g 1. This lays the foundation for the hypothesis that fragmentation processes are, to a large degree, responsible for electrostatic charging in coffee.

To isolate the impact of fracturing, we allowed ground coffee to pass through the grinder a second time at a coarser setting, preventing additional comminution. Without additional fracturing events, most charge should arise from coffee-coffee and coffee-burr interactions. The inset in Figure 5 shows the particle size distribution before and after the coffee traversed the grinder a second time, first at setting 2.0 and second at a much larger burr aperture (setting 6.0). The latter setting was selected because it was empirically shown to not alter the particle size distributions indicate that pre-ground coffee particles are sufficiently small to exit the grind chamber without further fracture but still accumulate some charge. To assess the generality of this observation, we performed these experiments on seven coffees (Figure 5). Twice-ground coffees (black) acquired significantly less charge than their primary ground counterparts (gray). Indeed, we observe a reduction of charge by up to 90%, with most pre-ground coffees acquiring q/m ratios in the range of 5-10 nC g 1. Such values are comparable to the findings made by Lim and others18 during the fluidization of powdered coffee. While we cannot isolate coffee-coffee and coffee-burr rubbing interactions, this experiment points to a general observation that reduced fracturing greatly reduces charging.



Charge-to-mass ratio of once- and twice-ground coffee

Figure 5. Twice grinding coffee to assess the role of fracture in electrification

The first grinding of whole-bean coffee at setting 2.0 results in charging from both triboelectric and fractoelectric processes, with a corresponding particle size distribution presented inset in gray. Regrinding the same particles at setting 6.0 results in a reduction in surface charge, with essentially no change in volumetric particle size distribution. First grinding is presented in gray and second grinding in black. Error bars indicate one standard deviation of a minimum of 3 measurements

Grinding with supplemental external water and its impact on brewing

Recalling the progression toward zero/positive charging with increasing internal moisture (Figure 3D), we next sought to understand the impact of adding external moisture. This process—known in the coffee industry as the "Ross Droplet Technique"—was posited to have been originally presented on an online message board.^{51–53} Anecdotally, baristas have observed that the incorporation of small amounts of liquid water onto the whole-bean coffee prior to grinding results in seemingly reduced charging. In our hands, it also resulted in near-zero grounds being retained by the grinder, an observation that has implications for reducing waste and increasing quality of beverages. Perhaps we will revisit this in a future study, but for now, we are more interested in whether the addition of water neutralizes the effects of fracto- and triboelectrification or modulates particle aggregation via capillary forces. Indeed,

while abundant water does seem to preclude charge buildup,⁵⁴ recent work suggests that small amounts of free water during granular electrification can produce unexpected behaviors. For instance, Grosjean and Waitukaitis⁵⁵ showed that water can change the charging behavior randomly and irreversibly. Hu and colleagues found that the open-circuit voltage of a triboelectric nanogenerator increases with relative humidity up until values of 50%.⁵⁶ We also performed humidity experiments (Figure S4) and found that humidity only affected charging above approximately 60% relative humidity (RH), in line with Hu et al.⁵⁶

To assess the impact of water addition, we systematically introduced water to wholebean coffee and ground at setting 2.0. Q/m ratios as a function of added water are presented in Figure 6A. All commercially sourced coffees of varying darkness, moisture content, and origin/processing methods (see Table S1) show a systematic reduction in charging with increasing external water content. As water content approaches 20 mL g 1, the charging approaches 0 nC g 1. We performed further experiments to isolate the impact of ambient humidity and found that while humidity can begin to reduce charging above 60% RH (see Figure S4), all of our measurements were performed between 25% and 45% RH and were largely unaffected by atmospheric water. This result is somewhat surprising given the majority of charging comes from fractoelectrifying events and the water is only introduced to the surface of the whole beans near instantaneously prior to grinding (preventing uptake and homogeneous wetting of the insides of the coffee). Here, water may be acting to reduce interfacial temperatures during fracturing (the coffee does exit the chamber cooler in the presence of water; see Figure S5), or perhaps it is facilitating some other physical process, e.g., enabling rapid solvated ion transfer.



Figure 6. External moisture controls surface charging and causes particle deaggregation

(A) Charge-to-mass ratios for several coffees that span positive, neutral, and negative charging, with increasing amounts of water introduced to the whole beans prior to grinding. The red-to-blue coloring is indicative of the roast color, where blue represents darker roasts. The upper x axis provides an estimate for the effective change in total moisture content contained within, and coated on the surface of, the whole beans.

(B) The inclusion of minerals in the water solution has no effect on the magnitude of charge suppression achieved by the water itself.

(C) The inclusion of water during grinding causes deaggregation of fines from boulders.

(D) The redistribution of particle polarity upon the addition of 20 mL g 1 water added to the whole beans. Error bars in (A), (B), and (D) indicate one standard deviation of a minimum of 3 measurements.

Because both tribo- and fractocharging may originate from electronic ionization, nuclear ion transfer, or a combination of the two,⁵⁷ we further developed an experiment to suppress ion transfer through the inclusion of ions directly into the wetting solution. If ion transfer is an operative mechanism, the inclusion of salt water should produce markedly reduced charging, dissimilar to that of pure water. Figure 6B reveals that the inclusion of NaCl, at either 0.5 or 1.0 M, shows the same fractoelectric charge reduction as that of pure water. These data lead us to conclude that ionic electrification is likely not the mechanism of charging in coffee but rather electron transfer.



A Beverage mass and total dissolved solids as a function of time

Figure 7. Shot-time and flow-rate dependence with and without water added to whole-bean coffee (A) Without changing any other parameter, coffee prepared using the addition of water to whole beans during grinding produces consistently slower shots with increased beverage strength.

(B) The change in flow rate can be fit using a logistic function such that the permeability of the bed approaches a constant within conventional espresso brewing times. The time the sigmoid takes to reach its inflection is nearly half that of the shots prepared with coffee ground in the presence of water, indicating that the bed is more permeable and progresses toward equilibrium permeability more rapidly.

To deduce whether the coffee particles were forming neutral aggregates, we examined both the laser diffraction particle size distributions as well as the electrostatic binning (Figures 6C and 6D). From the particle size distribution, it is clear that the inclusion of even small quantities of water (as low as 5 mL g 1) results in an immediate reduction in electrostatic aggregates of boulders and fines (the clumping region in Figure 6C). From Figure 6D, the data reveal that a positive-charging coffee transitions to neutral, with a corresponding slight increase in negative particle formation. Taken together, we surmise that water directly passivates the fractoelectric and triboelectric charge formation in coffee and causes particle deaggregation. Thus, the water addition approach does appear to achieve two key goals in coffee grinding by reducing both positive and negative electronic charging and reducing clumping and should result in notable differences in flow dynamics when brewing.

The impact of the water addition during grinding was demonstrated by brewing some espresso. There, all espresso parameters were kept constant (18.0 g dry mass coffee was used to produce 45.0 g liquid coffee extract, ground at setting 1.0, tamped at 196 N, and brewed using 94C water, kept at 7 bar static water pressure with a 2-s preinfusion), and shot time and flow rate were hence dependent on the particle size and permeability of the espresso bed. From the data presented in Figure 7, several physical differences are noted. First, the shot time is nearly 50% longer for coffee produced using the addition of water. We understand this to be due to increased bed density; because the fines and boulders are not electrostatically attracted to one another, the average particle size is smaller. Second, despite this, the first drops of coffee make their way to the cup at approximately the same time (10 s). At the end of the shot, the espresso prepared without water added to the whole beans produces a cup concentration of 8.2% total dissolved solids (TDSs), while the addition of water yields a cup with 8.9% TDSs. Perhaps the increase in concentration could simply be attributed to elongated contact time, but as we highlighted in an earlier paper,²⁶ shots with the same degree of extraction but different time parameters should have markedly different flavors.

Finally, we also examined flow rate throughout the shot. Because the coffee bed simultaneously swells while also being eroded, we hypothesized that the process should be described by a sigmoidal/logistic function where the flow rate will approach a constant as the espresso bed approaches a constant resistance (before the bed is either destroyed or channels are created). By fitting sigmoids to an average of three espresso shots for the wet- and dryground samples, we can calculate the rate at which the bed progresses to equilibrium flow. For the dry-ground samples, the rate is nearly 23 greater than the wet-ground analogs. This, paired with the mid-point of the sigmoidal fits, i.e., the time taken to reach the inflection point in flow rate, reveals that shots prepared without the addition of water during grinding are reaching equilibrium flow rates around the same time it takes the shot prepared with the addition of water to reach their inflection point. In other words, this is conclusive evidence to suggest that dry-ground coffee is producing a bed with markedly more porous pathways. By comparison to the exact same coffee but wet ground, we can conclude that wet grinding results in less space within the compacted bed and likely more homogeneous contact with water over the duration of the shot. Recalling that our previous study²⁶ highlighted that finer grind settings yielded uneven and variable extraction, here, we now offer one remedy to this variation. The addition of water during grinding should produce markedly different flavor profiles, and we expect that if one were to then alter both coffee mass and grind setting, the process should result in highly reproducible espresso.

What is the role of water in charge passivation?

Agtron number is proportional to the electronic band gap, both of which are reduced with darker roasts. This should cause the coffee's Seebeck coefficient to decrease with roast and, consequently, so should its tribofactor. This hypothesis generally aligns with the observation that oxidized organic molecules have lowlaying unoccupied orbitals

and explains the similarity in charging between coffee and other organic media.2 Furthermore, because grinding causes heat (see Figure S5), and assuming that the c and k are near unchanged for most coffees, but dark coffees generally result in smaller particles, darker coffees should heat up more and should produce increasingly negative charge. This concentration of negative charge on "warm," small particles has been previously invoked by Gu and coworkers to account for the fact that smaller, windblown sand particles are often observed to carry negative charge.

The complicating factor then remains the role of residual internal water. One possibility is that water is affecting the internal pH, which in turn shifts the internal chemical potential or the Fermi level by 60 mV per pH unit.⁶⁵ At lower pH, the S should increase. However, the concept of pH is obscured in the coffee matrix, where the activities of protons are dissimilar to that of solvated acids. The concept is perhaps still instructive, as light-roast coffees generally contain more acid and moisture than dark-roast analogs.⁶⁶ The addition of external water may serve many purposes, including reducing thermal gradients during grinding, affecting the surface chemistry of the metal burrs, or facilitating recombination of nominally trapped charge carriers. Clearly, there are many unanswered fundamental questions, and we hope that this study provokes further work in the field.

Outlook and conclusion

From studying both commercially sourced and in-house roasted coffee, it is clear that many factors play a role in determining the magnitude of charging during grinding. Residual internal moisture content has a first-order effect, with lighter roasts (>2% internal moisture) showing positive charging. Drier coffees result in a transition to largely negative charging. The magnitude of polarity may then be modulated by the grind setting, with coarser grinds yielding less charging than finer grinds. There did not appear to be a dependence on origin or processing method. Instead, we can speculate that it is the interplay between color and moisture content that governs charging, and we highlight that after roasting, the color may not change much, but the internal moisture will depend on age, environment, and so forth. Perhaps this is why commercially sourced coffees behave less predictably than our sample roasts?

Furthermore, light, medium, and dark coffees and their roast profiles can feature markedly different charging regimes, even for coffees with the same Agtron values. This highlights that modern roasting paradigms are highly artisanal and pose fundamental challenges for using commercially sourced coffee in academic settings. This is important at both the small and large scales, potentially elevating quality control in roasting facilities. Similarly, one could imagine using the charging of whole-bean and ground coffee as a marker for a number of chemical and physical quality metrics. Could chemical defects be detected by monitoring the electrification of whole beans using the rolling technique presented in Figure 1?

We demonstrated that charging of coffee depends less on the initial water content of the green coffee and more so on the terminal water content (both internal and external) of the roasted beans. Through the inclusion of small amounts of external water, the coffee effectively declumps and suppresses charging during grinding. The same process provides tantalizing opportunities to introduce ions into the coffee grounds using salted water. One could imagine the development of in-ground mineralization to make designer brewing water⁶⁷ in situ without the risk of damaging boilers in espresso settings. To that end, we also demonstrated that the inclusion of water on whole-bean coffee results in markedly different flow dynamics in espresso brewing, which will undoubtedly yield differences in cup quality. The inspired reader s encouraged to experiment using the water addition technique but to further include both coffee mass (controlling the brew ratio and resultant strength) and grind setting (controlling flow restriction and resultant contact time) as variables. Wide implementation may reveal that a few simple squirts of water have solved the problems of clumping, channeling, and poor extractions while aiding in the pursuit of attaining the tastiest espresso.

Experimental Procedures

The data supporting this study is available for download from Figshare: https://doi. org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23277320.v2.

Coffee roasting was performed using an Ikawa Pro100. The roast profiles are available for download.⁶⁸ The coffee color/roast degree and internal water content of both commercially sourced and in-house roasted coffee were measured using the Dipper KN-201 and the Roastrite RM800, respectively. The Roastrite compensates for variations in ambient temperature and humidity.

Coffee grinding was performed on a Mahlko" nig EK 43 flat-burr grinder using stock coffee burrs. The burrs were aligned using the Mahlko" nig burr alignment tool. A grind setting of 0.0 was set when the burrs were brought together to create a chirping sound. The axial, radial, and angular alignments were measured by applying a marker to the outermost edges of the burrs and then bringing the burrs to grind setting 0.0. The burrs were sufficiently aligned where all radial markers had been rubbed away, and there was homogeneity of the grind distribution from laser diffraction particle size analysis. Laser measurements were performed on a Malvern Mastersizer 2000 with the solid-particle feed system Scirocco 2000. Vibration feed rate and air pressure were set to 60% and 2 bar, respectively. The standard operating procedure (SOP) parameters were three measurements per aliquot, a 2-s delay between measurements, an estimated refractive index and absorption of 1.59 and 0.1, respectively (similar to chocolate), a measurement time of 10 s, 10,000 measurement snaps, a background time of 5 s, and 5,000 background snaps. Raw data for plots presented in Figures 5 and 6 are available for download.^{ae} Twice-ground coffee in Figure 5 was obtained by first grinding at 2.0 and then at 6.0. These settings were empirically determined by isolating when the particle size distribution was unchanged during the second grind (see Figure S4 for further details). Grind settings less than 6.0 showed a minor increase in fines from random fracturing events of large particles. The raw triplicate data can be found online.^{ae} Photographic images were collected using a Keyence VHZ-100UR microscope.

Q/m quantification was performed using a custom-build Faraday cup, machined to fit the chute of the EK 43 grinder. The charge on particles entering the cup was then measured by a Keithley 614 electrometer operating in coulomb mode with a range setting of G2 nC (see Figure 1C). The coffee collected in the Faraday cup was then weighed using a generic laboratory scale to obtain its mass. The mass and charge were then used to compute the Q/m

ratio. The same Faraday cup setup was employed to measure the triboelectric response of whole coffee beans rolling down a coated vibrating ramp presented in Figure 1A.

Particle charge polarity measurements were performed using the electrostatic separator following a configuration presented in a previous study.35 The system shown in Figure 1C consists of two sub-parallel 1-m-long electrodes with a potential difference of 8.2 kV. The electric field separates particles by charge polarity, and particles were collected in negative, neutral, and positive bins at the base of the separator.

Water solutions used for fractoelectric charge reduction were constructed from reverse osmosis water from a Pentair Conserv 75E and mineralized using sodium chloride obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. A pipette was used to introduce water onto whole-bean coffee, and the coffee was shaken in a sealed container to ensure homogeneous distribution. Samples were prepared in triplicate.

Whole-bean coffee was stored in H2O-impermeable vacuum bags and kept at 20C. The coffee was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature in the vacuum bag before grinding. Humidity measurements were performed in a glovebox, and the grinder was allowed to reach equilibrium with the atmospheric water. Coffee was not allowed to equilibrate in order to isolate the role of internal versus external water. Otherwise, all experiments were conducted in air at 25C G2oC, 20%–45% RH, and 101 G 1 kPa.

Espresso was prepared using a Victoria Arduino Black Eagle prepared at 7 bar static water pressure, with 94C water and a fixed brew ratio of 18 g coffee to produce 45.0 g espresso. The grounds were tamped using PUQpress Q1, set to apply 196 N, and a normcore 58.5-mm diffusing screen was added to the top of the compacted bed. Flow-rate data were computed from the gravimetric change measured at the scale.

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matt. 2023.11.005.

Bibliography

- 1. Forward, K.M., Lacks, D.J., and Sankaran, R.M. (2009). Charge Segregation Depends on Particle Size in Triboelectrically Charged Granular Materials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 028001.
- Zou, H., Zhang, Y., Guo, L., Wang, P., He, X., Dai, G., Zheng, H., Chen, C., Wang, A.C., Xu, C., et al. (2019). Quantifying the triboelectric series. Nat. Commun. 10, 1427.
- 3. Li, M., Cheng, W.-Y., Li, Y.-C., Wu, H.-M., Wu, Y.-C., Lu, H.-W., Cheng, S.-L., Li, L., Chang, K.-C., Liu, H.-J., et al. (2021). Deformable, resilient, and mechanically-durable triboelectric nanogenerator based on recycled coffee waste for wearable power and selfpowered smart sensors. Nano Energy 79, 105405.
- 4. Zhang, R., and Olin, H. (2020). Material choices for triboelectric nanogenerators: A critical review. EcoMat 2.
- 5. Luo, J., Gao, W., and Wang, Z.L. (2021). The Triboelectric Nanogenerator as an Innovative Technology toward Intelligent Sports. Adv. Mater. 33, 2004178.
- Cui, S., Zhou, L., Liu, D., Li, S., Liu, L., Chen, S., Zhao, Z., Yuan, W., Wang, Z.L., and Wang, J. (2022). Improving performance of triboelectric nanogenerators by dielectric enhancement effect. Matter 5, 180–193.
- Huo, Z.-Y., Yang, Y., Jeong, J.-M., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Wei, M., Dai, K., Xiong, P., and Kim, S.-W. (2023). Self-Powered Disinfection Using Triboelectric, Conductive Wires of Metal– Organic Frameworks. Nano Lett. 23, 3090–3097.
- Dickinson, J.T., Jensen, L.C., and JahanLatibari, A. (1984). Fracto-emission: The role of charge separation. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 2, 1112–1116.
- Wollbrandt, J., Linke, E., and Meyer, K. (1975). Emission of high energy electrons during mechanical treatment of alkali halides. Phys. Stat. Sol. 27, K53–K55.
- James, M.R., Lane, S.J., and Gilbert, J.S. (2000). Volcanic plume electrification: Experimental investigation of a fracture-charging mechanism. J. Geophys. Res. 105, 16641–16649.
- 11. Méndez Harper, J., Dufek, J., and McAdams, J. (2015). The electrification of volcanic particles during the brittle fragmentation of the magma column. In In Proceedings of the ESA Annual Meeting on Electrostatics.
- 12. Me'ndez Harper, J., and Dufek, J. (2016). The effects of dynamics on the triboelectrification of volcanic ash. JGR. Atmospheres 121, 8209–8228.
- 13. Me'ndez Harper, J., Dufek, J., and McDonald, G.D. (2021). Detection of spark discharges in an agitated mars dust simulant isolated from foreign surfaces. Icarus 357, 114268.
- 14. Gibson, N. (1997). Static electricity—an industrial hazard under control? J. Electrost. 40-41, 21-30.
- Tanaka, Y., Miura, T., and Koyaguchi, T. (2002). Measurements of electric charge distribution in volcanic plumes at sakurajima volcano, japan. Bull. Volcanol. 64, 75–93.

- Hendrickson, G. (2006). Electrostatics and gas phase fluidized bed polymerization reactor wall sheeting. Chem. Eng. Sci. 61, 1041–1064.
- 17. Salama, F., Sowinski, A., Atieh, K., and Mehrani, P. (2013). Investigation of electrostatic charge distribution within the reactor wall fouling and bulk regions of a gas-solid fluidized bed. J. Electrost. 71, 21–27.
- Lim, T.S.E., Lim, E., Tay, W.Q., Cruz, D.A., and Wong, S.Y. (2021). Triboelectric charging of 3-in-1 coffee mixes: Formulation and fouling. J. Food Process. Eng. 44, e13890.
- 19. Matsusaka, S., Maruyama, H., Matsuyama, T., and Ghadiri, M. (2010). Triboelectric charging of powders: A review. Chem. Eng. Sci. 65, 5781–5807.
- Eckhoff, R.K. (2009). Understanding dust explosions. the role of powder science and technology. J. Loss Prev. Process. Ind. 22, 105–116.
- Zhang, L., Hou, J., and Bi, X. (2013). Triboelectric charging behavior of wood particles during pellet handling processes. J. Loss Prev. Process. Ind. 26, 1328–1334.
- Baffes, J., Lewin, B., and Varangis, P. (2005). Global Agricultural Trade and Developing Countries, 297 (World Bank Washington), p. 309.
- 23. Grigg, D. (2002). The worlds of tea and coffee: Patterns of consumption. Geojournal 57, 283–294.
- Cordoba, N., Fernandez-Alduenda, M., Moreno, F.L., and Ruiz, Y. (2020). Coffee extraction: A review of parameters and their influence on the physicochemical characteristics and flavour of coffee brews. Trends Food Sci. Technol. 96, 45–60.
- 25. Gagné, J. (2021). The Physics of Filter Coffee (Scott Rao Coffee Books).
- Cameron, M.I., Morisco, D., Hofstetter, D., Uman, E., Wilkinson, J., Kennedy, Z.C., Fontenot, S.A., Lee, W.T., Hendon, C.H., and Foster, J.M. (2020). Systematically Improving Espresso: Insights from Mathematical Modeling and Experiment. Matter 2, 631–648.
- Pires, F.D.C., Pereira, R.G.F.A., Baqueta, M.R., Valderrama, P., and Alves Da Rocha, R. (2021). Near-infrared spectroscopy and multivariate calibration as an alternative to the Agtron to predict roasting degrees in coffee beans and ground coffees. Food Chem. 365, 130471.
- Uman, E., Colonna-Dashwood, M., ColonnaDashwood, L., Perger, M., Klatt, C., Leighton, S., Miller, B., Butler, K.T., Melot, B.C., Speirs, R.W., and Hendon, C.H. (2016). The effect of bean origin and temperature on grinding roasted coffee. Sci. Rep. 6, 24483–24488.
- Youn, K.-S., and Choi, Y.-H. (1990). Adsorption Characteristics and Moisture Content Prediction Model of Coffee with Water Activity and Temperature. Korean J. Food Sci. Technol. 22, 690–695.
- Gloess, A.N., Vietri, A., Wieland, F., Smrke, S., Scho" nba" chler, B., Lo' pez, J.A.S., Petrozzi, S., Bongers, S., Koziorowski, T., and Yeretzian, C. (2014). Evidence of different flavour formation dynamics by roasting coffee from different origins: On-line analysis with PTR-ToF-MS. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. 365–366, 324– 337.

- Telis-Romero, J., Gabas, A.L., Polizelli, M.A., and Telis, V.R.N. (2000). Temperature and water content influence on thermophysical properties of coffee extract. Int. J. Food Prop. 3, 375–384.
- 32. Méndez Harper, J., Courtland, L., Dufek, J., and McAdams, J. (2020). Microphysical effects of water content and temperature on the triboelectrification of volcanic ash on long time scales. JGR. Atmospheres 125, e2019JD031498.
- Sow, M., Lacks, D.J., and Mohan Sankaran, R. (2012). Dependence of contact electrification on the magnitude of strain in polymeric materials. J. Appl. Phys. 112, 084909.
- Pittia, P., Dalla Rosa, M., and Lerici, C. (2001). Textural changes of coffee beans as affected by roasting conditions. LWT - Food Sci. Technol. 34, 168–175.
- 35. Méndez Harper, J., Cimarelli, C., Cigala, V., Kueppers, U., and Dufek, J. (2021). Charge injection into the atmosphere by explosive volcanic eruptions through triboelectrification and fragmentation charging. Earth Planet Sci. Lett. 574, 117162.
- Pa["]htz, T., Herrmann, H.J., and Shinbrot, T. (2010). Why do particle clouds generate electric charges? Nat. Phys. 6, 364–368.
- 37. Xie, L., Bao, N., Jiang, Y., and Zhou, J. (2016). Effect of humidity on contact electrification due to collision between spherical particles. AIP Adv. 6, 035117.
- 38. Hu, G., Peng, X., Gao, Y., Huang, Y., Li, X., Su, H., and Qiu, M. (2020). Effect of roasting degree of coffee beans on sensory evaluation: Research from the perspective of major chemical ingredients. Food Chem. 331, 127329.
- Zarebska, M., Stanek, N., Barabosz, K., Jaszkiewicz, A., Kulesza, R., Matejuk, R., Andrzejewski, D., Biłos, Ł., and Porada, A. (2022). Comparison of chemical compounds and their influence on the taste of coffee depending on green beans storage conditions. Sci. Rep. 12, 2674–2712.
- 40. Smrke, S., Wellinger, M., Suzuki, T., Balsiger, F., Opitz, S.E.W., and Yeretzian, C. (2018). TimeResolved Gravimetric Method To Assess Degassing of Roasted Coffee. J. Agric. Food Chem. 66, 5293–5300.
- 41. Arisseto, A.P., Vicente, E., Ueno, M.S., Tfouni, S.A.V., and Toledo, M.C.D.F. (2011). Furan Levels in Coffee As Influenced by Species, Roast Degree, and Brewing Procedures. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 3118–3124.
- Bustos-Vanegas, J.D., Corre[^] a, P.C., Martins, M.A., Baptestini, F.M., Campos, R.C., de Oliveira, G.H.H., and Nunes, E.H.M. (2018). Developing predictive models for determining physical properties of coffee beans during the roasting process. Ind. Crop. Prod. 112, 839–845.
- 43. Dandamrongrak, R., Young, G., and Mason, R. (2002). Evaluation of various pre-treatments for the dehydration of banana and selection of suitable drying models. J. Food Eng. 55, 139–146.
- 44. Ntuli, T.M., and Pammenter, N.W. (2009). Dehydration kinetics of embryonic axes from desiccation-sensitive seeds: An assessment of descriptive models. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 51, 1002–1007.
- 45. Lattanzi, A.M., Tavanashad, V., Subramaniam, S., and Capecelatro, J. (2022). Fluid-mediated sources of granular temperature at finite reynolds numbers. J. Fluid Mech. 942, A7.

46. Goldhirsch, I. (2008). Introduction to granular temperature. Powder Technol. 182, 130–136.

- 47. Smith, C.M., Gaudin, D., Van Eaton, A.R., Behnke, S.A., Reader, S., Thomas, R.J., Edens, H., McNutt, S.R., and Cimarelli, C. (2021). Impulsive volcanic plumes generate volcanic lightning and vent discharges: A statistical analysis of sakurajima volcano in 2015. Geophys. Res. Lett. 48, e2020GL092323.
- 48. Cimarelli, C., Behnke, S., Genareau, K., Harper, J.M., and Van Eaton, A.R. (2022). Volcanic electrification: recent advances and future perspectives. Bull. Volcanol. 84, 78.
- Piperno, S., Cohen, H., Bendikov, T., Lahav, M., and Lubomirsky, I. (2011). The absence of redox reactions for palladium (ii) and copper (ii) on electrostatically charged teflon: relevance to the concept of "cryptoelectrons". Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 50, 5654–5657.
- Lacks, D.J., and Mohan Sankaran, R. (2011). Contact electrification of insulating materials. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 44, 453001.
- 51. Moritz C. Ross droplet technique-eliminating grinder static. Home-Barista.com 2012. https:// www.home-barista.com/grinders/rossdroplet-technique-eliminating-grinder-statict24051.html.
- 52. Jana R. How to deal with static in coffee grinders: 3 tricks you can try at home. Java Presse 2021. https://www.javapresse.com/ blogs/grinding-coffee/how-to-deal-withstatic-in-coffee-grinders-3-tricks-youcan-tryat-home.
- 53. Clark, C. (2023). Ross droplet techniqueeliminating grinder static. Brew Coffee at Home. https://www.brewcoffeehome.com/ coffee-grinder-static-hack/.
- Stern, S., Cimarelli, C., Gaudin, D., Scheu, B., and Dingwell, D. (2019). Electrification of experimental volcanic jets with varying water content and temperature. Geophys. Res. Lett. 46, 11136–11145.
- 55. Grosjean, G., and Waitukaitis, S. (2023). Singlecollision statistics reveal a global mechanism driven by sample history for contact electrification in granular media. Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 098202.
- 56. Hu, Y., Wang, X., Li, H., Li, H., and Li, Z. (2020). Effect of humidity on tribological properties and electrification performance of slidingmode triboelectric nanogenerator. Nano Energy 71, 104640.
- Yang, P., Shi, Y., Tao, X., Liu, Z., Dong, X., Wang, Z.L., and Chen, X. (2023). Radical anion transfer during contact electrification and its compensation for charge loss in triboelectric nanogenerator. Matter 6, 1295– 1311.
- Shin, E.-C., Ko, J.-H., Lyeo, H.-K., and Kim, Y.-H. (2022). Derivation of a governing rule in triboelectric charging and series from thermoelectricity. Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 023131.
- Tarditi, A., Kondratyuk, P., Wong, P.K., and Gellman, A.J. (2010). Controlling the work function of a diamondlike carbon surface by fluorination with XeF2. J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films 28, 1250–1254.
- 60. Zhuang, Y., Liu, Y., Xia, H., Li, Y., Li, X., and Li, T. (2022). Effective work function of TiN films: Profound surface effect and controllable aging process. AIP Adv. 12, 125222.

- 61. Gerard, A., Berry, A., and Masson, P. (2008). Optimization problem for the automatic positioning of flow obstructions to control tonal fan noise. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 123, 3539.
- Cardoso, D.B., Andrade, E.T.d, Caldero' n, R.A.A., Rabelo, M.H.S., Dias, C.d.A., and Lemos, I.A'. (2018). Determination of thermal properties of coffee beans at different degrees of roasting. Coffee Sci. 13, 498– 509.
- 63. Pernstich, K.P., Ro⁻⁻ ssner, B., and Batlogg, B. (2008). Field-effect-modulated Seebeck coefficient in organic semiconductors. Nat. Mater. 7, 321–325.
- 64. Gu, Z., Wei, W., Su, J., and Yu, C.W. (2013). The role of water content in triboelectric charging of wind-blown sand. Sci. Rep. 3, 1337.
- 65. Kim, Y.-H., Kim, K., and Zhang, S.B. (2012). Firstprinciples calculation of thermodynamic stability of acids and bases under pH environment: A microscopic pH theory. J. Chem. Phys. 136, 134112.
- 66. Duangjai, A., Saokaew, S., Goh, B.-H., and Phisalprapa, P. (2021). Shifting of physicochemical and biological characteristics of coffee roasting under ultrasound-assisted extraction. Front. Nutr. 8, 724591.
- 67. Hendon, C.H., Colonna-Dashwood, L., and Colonna-Dashwood, M. (2014). The role of dissolved cations in coffee extraction. J. Agric. Food Chem. 62, 4947–4950.
- Méndez Harper, J., McDonald, C.S., Rheingold, E.J., Wehn, L.C., Bumbaugh, R.E., Cope, E.J., Lindberg, L.E., Pham, J., Kim, Y.-H., Dufek, J., et al.. Triboelectric coffee grinding supplemental raw data. FigShare. https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23277320.v2.