

DRAFT 6.25.09
WRB Design Enhancements Panel
Meeting #1– PUBLIC NOTES

Tuesday, June 23, 2009
Lane Council of Governments, Willamette Conference Room
7:35 a.m. to 8:55 a.m.

Panel Attendees: Bob Kline, Annie Loe, Randy Nishimura, John Rose, Scott Wylie
Absent: Charlotte Behm, Eric Gunderson, Vicky Mello

Staff: Don Kahle, Facilitator; Megan Banks; Douglas Beauchamp; Larry Fox; Justin Lanphear

Design Enhancements Panel Structure

Don described the Design Enhancements Panel, or panel's, structure and its mission "to make good things happen quickly." He explained that the panel is advisory to OBEC and is an extension of Larry's staff.

Randy asked if the panel would be presenting to the CAG. Larry responded that it would depend on the topic but it was not anticipated that the structure would change from how it was set up now. Larry thought panel interaction directly with the CAG was unlikely.

Don explained the ways that the panel was different than the CAG. He added that the panel should consider future and past cultures and peoples of the area, and that the panel may reach out to others as needed.

Scott asked if the OBEC staff that can grant permission have been identified. The group was informed that Larry is the contact for OBEC. Regarding anything else for the panel, Don is the contact and he will either address the issue or figure out who should.

One in Three

Larry distributed a matrix that included bundles of projects that were grouped by geography and key stakeholders.

Don noted that the Design Workshop Summary Report was put together as a guiding document and a living document. It intended to capture the energy and vision from the workshops but that the panel should not think the summary report is complete and authoritative; the creativity of the panel may come up with alternative ideas.

Justin described a map that showed the bundles by color and their locations. Scott added that he thought it was important that future work near or in the project area not be precluded. Don noted that the panel or design teams may come up with other ideas that could be shared with other groups or agencies. These groups or agencies could be asked them to consider the ideas when they update master plans, etc. This approach would allow the vision to be completed.

Don added that the bundles look geographic but the main reason they are structured the way they are has to do with stakeholders and interest groups within the community; the designer/design team that is selected will be working with those groups.

Don added that the bundles have "soft boundaries" and that panel can look at changing them but should be aware of the implications for stakeholders and interest group involvement.

Don asked if there were any questions on the Operating Agreements. Randy noted that he had already asked and had his question on CAG members role answered.

Timing

Don discussed timing and logistics:

1. Likely to have one more bigger group meeting for the field trip then meetings will be bundle-specific.
2. Will look at bundles process – questions still out there; leads to 3 below:
3. Request for Proposals/Qualifications (RFPs/Qs)

He added that the bigger panel will come back together for the pre-proposal meeting. The pre-proposal meeting is likely to be held end of July 2009.

Don said that he will use on-line tools for scheduling and verified that everyone was willing to check their e-mail at least every 24 hours.

Next meeting time and place: to be scheduled

LCOG: T:\Trans Projects\Willamette Replacement Bridge Proposal with OBEC\Charrettes Feb 09\DEP\Meeting Notes\DEP Meeting #1 Notes 062309.doc Last Saved: Monday, August 17, 2009